Introduction

Multiple Sclerosis Brain MRI Segmentation Workflow deployment on the EGEE grid

Erik Pernod¹, Jean-Christophe Souplet¹, Javier Rojas Balderrama², Diane Lingrand², Xavier Pennec¹

Speaker: Grégoire Malandain¹

¹Asclépios Project team, INRIA Sophia Antipolis

²CNRS - I3S Laboratory, Université of Nice Sophia Antipolis







Introduction

NeuroLOG http://neurolog.polytech.unice.fr

- A three years ANR scientific project (2007-2009)
- Goal: Federate medical data and algorithms, and sharing computing resources on grid infrastructure.
- On three different pathologies:
 - Multiple Sclerosis
 - Brain Stroke
 - Tumours
- Partners from different disciplines:
 - Software technologies
 - Databases and knowledge
 - Medical imaging







Introduction

Goals:

- Feasibility study: to deploy a "real" medical image processing solution on the grid
- Application: parameter sweeping
- Plan:
- Brain MRI segmentation pipeline
- Workflow deployment on the EGEE grid
- Time performance
- Study of a method's parameter influence
- Conclusion and future work.









Multiple Sclerosis brain MRI segmentation

- Segmentation of lesions on brain MRI is required for diagnosis or follow-up purpose in MS.
- First step: Segmentation of brain healthy tissues.
 - Use multi-spectral MRI sequences: T1, T2 and DP.
 - MRI have to be normalized (spatially and in intensity).
 - A brain mask is also needed.
 - Segmentation of the healthy compartments classes (WM, GM and CSF) is realized.
- Lesions are then segmented on T2-FLAIR sequence, using the brain healthy compartments classes.

Improved EM-based tissue segmentation and partial volume effect quantification in multi-sequence brain MRI. G. Dugas-Phocion, M. Angel G. Ballester, G. Malandain, C. Lebrun, and N. Ayache. MICCAI'04.











Brain MRI segmentation pipeline : Five main steps



NEUROLOG









Gridification

Problematic: How to deploy and parallelize an algorithm on a grid?

- Needed transformations of the pipeline ?
- How to create a workflow ?
- How to execute a workflow ?
- Performances ?







Workflow creation

Services creation

- Splitting the pipeline in black t
- Description of each black box



lescription>

<executable name="baladin.sh">

<access type="LFN"/> <value value="baladin.sh"/>

Use of GASW (Generic Application)

Wrapper)













Workflow creation

Workflow execution

- Need a account on one computer of the grid
- Execution of the workflow (XML file) using MOTEUR



Efficient services composition for grid-enabled data-intensive applications. T. Glatard, J. Montagnat, and X. Pennec. HPDC'06

• MOTEUR takes in charge all the interactions with the grid









- Possibility of multiple concurrent executions
- Time performance:









Potential issues

- The EGEE grid use the gLite middleware. http://glite.web.cern.ch/glite/
- In this framework, the Resource Broker is responsible for the matchmaking between job requests and resources.
 - Fastest responding resources are chosen (after filtration)
 - Not necessarily the most powerful
 - May already be buzy
- Resources management could be improved







Parameter-sweep test

- Validation of the deployment on the grid
 - Comparison with a sequential execution on one single computer: identical results
- The power of the grid allows to perform parameter sweeping in a reasonable amount of time
- Goal: To find a good compromise between accuracy and speed in the EM method. Study of the performance w.r.t. the percentage of points used to estimate distributions.









Ratio Parameter of the EM

- Generation of WM segmentations obtained using different ratio value in the EM method.
- Comparaison of these segmentation to the segmentation of reference (ratio = 1)



Sensibility = true positives true positives + false negatives

Specificity = true negatives true negatives + false positives



MR image of a control subject



MR image of a MS patient















Ratio Parameter of the EM

- Using only 1% of the image voxels in the EM method:
 - Divides the execution time of the method by ~3
 - Still provides segmentation of sufficient quality
- Taking less than 1% of the voxels may leads to poor results
- ~ 210 workflow executions (10 per ratio value) have been computed (per image set).
 - Local execution time (sequential): ~ 100 hours (estimated)
 - Grid execution time: ~ 40 hours (4 hours per bunch of 21 workflow executions)







Conclusion

Conclusion:

- Deployment demonstration of a "real" application on the grid.
- The power of the grid allows multiple concurrent executions and a sizeable gain of time.
- As a consequence, it allows computation costly tests, e.g. parameter sweeping.

Future work:

- Generalization of the services to support more image formats
 - Does not require to modify the workflow nor the web service descriptions
 - Can be done directly at the application level
 - Allows the workflow diffusion to other research groups
- Add new services to the workflow to get the lesions segmentation.





